



## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### **Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

**[Docket No. OSHA-2011-0054]**

#### **Revocation of Permanent Variances**

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of revocation.

**SUMMARY:** With this notice, OSHA is revoking twenty-four (24) obsolete variances. Between 1975 and 1977, OSHA granted permanent variances to 24 companies engaged in the construction of cylindrical steel tanks. The variances specified several conditions that served as an alternative means of compliance to the falling-object-protection and fall-protection requirements of the standard governing general requirements for scaffolds in effect during this period. In 1996, OSHA revised its scaffolds standards for construction to include provisions that essentially duplicated the conditions specified by these variances. Therefore, OSHA believes the alternative means of compliance granted by the variances is no longer necessary and is revoking the variances.

Based on comments received in response to a December 19, 2011, notice proposing to revoke these variances (76 FR 78698), on August 7, 2012, OSHA published a notice in the Federal Register correcting several cross references in OSHA's scaffolds standards for construction (77 FR 46948). Today's notice revoking the variances takes into consideration these newly corrected cross references.

**DATES:** The effective date of the revocation of the permanent variances is [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

General information and press inquiries. Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA  
Office of Communications, Room N-3647, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution  
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-1999.

Technical information. Stefan Weisz, Office of Technical Programs and  
Coordination Activities, Room N-3655, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200  
Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2110; fax:  
(202) 693-1644.

Copies of this Federal Register notice. Electronic copies of this notice are  
available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Electronic copies of this notice, as well as news  
releases and other relevant information, are available on OSHA's Web site at  
<http://www.osha.gov>.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### **I. Background**

OSHA's general requirements for scaffolds used in the construction industry are set forth at 29 CFR 1926.451. OSHA adopted this standard from Section 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (Construction Safety Act) (40 U.S.C. 3704) under Section 6(a) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act; 29 USC 651, 655) in 1971 (see 36 FR 7340). Paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) of §1926.451 required employers to erect, on scaffolds more than 10 feet above the ground or floor, toeboards having a minimum height of four inches on all open sides and open ends of the platforms. These requirements prevented tools and other equipment from falling from the scaffold and striking employees below. To ensure the structural integrity of scaffolds, §1926.451(a)(5) required employers to erect guardrail supports at intervals not to exceed

eight feet, while Table L-3 in §1926.451(a)(10) set maximum permissible spans for 2-inch x 10-inch (or wider) planks.

Between 1975 and 1977, OSHA granted 24 permanent variances from the falling-object-protection and fall-protection requirements in §1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10) to employers using scaffolds in the construction of cylindrical steel tanks.

Construction of these tanks involves attaching curved steel plates together to form the outer surface of a tank. After attaching a horizontal layer (ring) of steel plates around the circumference of the existing shell, employees raise the scaffolds to attach the next ring of steel plates onto the existing shell. Steel mills typically fabricate the steel plates to a standard length. After delivery of the steel plates to a worksite, and prior to attaching the plates to form the outer surface of a tank, employers attach scaffolding and guardrail supports to brackets welded onto the steel plates. The standard length and radius of the steel plates make it difficult for employers to properly space scaffolding and guardrail supports as specified by §1926.451. To address this problem, employers developed special procedures and methods, including special scaffolding that is more mobile, flexible, and holds fewer workers than conventional scaffolding.

A. Alternative Means of Compliance Specified in the 24 Variances

The variances OSHA granted to the 24 employers did not require scaffolds used in the construction of cylindrical steel tanks to have the toeboards required by §1926.451(a)(4) and (a)(5). Instead, the variances specified that the employers must implement the following conditions as an alternative means of compliance: (1) ensure that employees keep loose tools and equipment in secure, well-designed containers; and (2) use ropes to demarcate the area below the scaffold and post clearly visible signs

indicating “overhead work above.” The variances also stated that no more than three employees could work on a 10½-foot plank at any time.

Since the contour of the steel plates on a tank’s outer surface is curved, and the adjacent edge of the scaffold is straight, there is an open space between them. As a result, the variances provided for the installation of a taut wire rope between the innermost edge of the scaffold and the curved plate of a tank’s outer surface to serve as a safety line in place of a guardrail assembly. In the event the open space on either side of the rope exceeded 12 inches, the employer had to install a second wire rope or guardrail. Also, the variances set 10½ feet as the maximum distance between brackets used to attach scaffolding and guardrail supports and stated that employers had to weld such brackets to the steel plates.

Additionally, the variances required employers to use scaffold planks of rough full-dimensioned 2-inch x 12-inch x 12-foot Douglas Fir or Southern Yellow Pine of Select Structural Grade. The Douglas Fir planking had to have at least a 1,900 fiber stress and 1,900,000 modulus of elasticity, while the Yellow Pine planking had to have at least 2,500 fiber stress and 2,000,000 modulus of elasticity. Employers had to secure all planking from movement or overlap it in accordance with §1926.451(a)(12). The variances also required that employers construct guardrails of taut wire rope and support the guardrails using angle irons attached to brackets welded to the steel plates. These guardrails had to be at least equivalent in strength, stability, and height to the 2-inch x 4-inch x 8-foot wooden rails addressed in §1926.451(a)(5). Finally, the variances required employers to space guardrail supports at intervals no greater than 10½ feet apart.

*B. OSHA’s Current Standard*

On August 30, 1996, OSHA issued a final rule revising its construction safety standards regulating the design, construction, and use of scaffolds (61 FR 46026). In the preamble to the final rule, OSHA stated that it was updating its scaffolds standards in construction and, when possible, establishing performance-oriented criteria to protect employees from scaffold-related hazards such as falls, falling objects, structural instability, electrocution, and overloading. OSHA also explained that it was not issuing specific requirements for the tank-building industry because the Agency believed it addressed adequately the requirements for tank scaffolds under the general provisions of the final rule (see 61 FR 46033). In this regard, the final rule revised the requirements in §1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10). These revisions are set forth in §1926.451, as well as non-mandatory Appendix A of 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L.

OSHA's current standard at §1926.451(h) addresses the protection of employees from scaffold-related falling-object hazards. Section 1926.451(h)(1) requires employers to ensure that employees working on scaffolds wear hardhats and to protect these employees from falling hand tools, debris, and other small objects. Section 1926.451(h)(2) sets forth several options for employers to use to prevent tools, materials, or equipment from falling from a scaffold and striking employees below. Paragraphs (h)(2)(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) of §1926.451 specify these options, respectively, as follows: (1) using barricades on lower levels to exclude employees from areas where falling objects might land; (2) erecting toeboards along the edge of platforms for a distance sufficient to protect workers below, when the platforms are more than 10 feet above lower levels; (3) erecting paneling or screening when tools or other materials piled on the platform reach a height higher than the top edge of a toeboard; (4) installing a

guardrail system designed so that the openings will prevent the passage of falling objects; and (5) installing debris nets, catch platforms, or canopies to protect workers below scaffolds from falling objects.

Appendix A to subpart L addresses scaffold specifications and provides non-mandatory guidance to assist employers in complying with the requirements in subpart L. Paragraph (z) of this appendix provides guidance regarding the use of tank builders' scaffolds. In the preamble to the 1996 final rule, OSHA noted that the introductory text of the appendix clearly indicates that employers following the appendix will be in compliance with the requirements of the standard that pertain to scaffolds used in the construction of cylindrical tanks. However, OSHA stated further that employers choosing not to follow the appendix still must comply with applicable requirements in §1926.451, particularly paragraphs (a) and (f) (see 61 FR 46033).

## **II. Comments on the Proposed Revocation of Variances**

OSHA published a proposed revocation of the permanent variances in the Federal Register on December 19, 2011 (76 FR 78698). The notice invited interested parties, including the 24 companies engaged in the construction of cylindrical steel tanks granted the permanent variances, and affected employees, to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding the proposed revocation. The notice also included a table comparing the conditions specified in the 24 variances with the analogous paragraphs in OSHA's current §1926.451 and Appendix A to 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L. In addition, the Federal Register notice stated that interested parties could request a hearing on the proposed revocation of the permanent variances. OSHA did not receive any requests for a hearing.

OSHA received one comment on the proposed revocation. Mr. Donald Lowe of Tampa Tank, Inc., submitted a comment requesting clarification of the table comparing the variance conditions with OSHA's current standard at §1926.451 and Appendix A to 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L (see Document ID No. OSHA-2011-0054-0001<sup>1</sup>). The comment indicated that paragraphs (z)(3) and (z)(5) in Appendix A incorrectly refer to guardrail requirements in §1926.451(e)(4).

### **III. OSHA's Corrected Standard**

OSHA published a correction notice addressing its standards on respiratory protection, mechanical power presses, and scaffold specifications in the Federal Register on August 7, 2012 (77 FR 46948). This notice included correcting a cross reference made in two paragraphs in Appendix A to 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L, which specify requirements for tank builders' scaffolds. Specifically, when OSHA published its 1996 final rule addressing scaffolds standards in construction, paragraphs (z)(3) and (z)(5) in Appendix A referred to guardrail requirements in §1926.451(e)(4). However, the requirements at §1926.451(e)(4) contain provisions for stair towers; these provisions are not applicable to tank builders' scaffolds. The reference cited in paragraphs (z)(3) and (z)(5) should be to paragraph §1926.451(g)(4), which addresses in part guardrail systems for tank builders' scaffolds. Accordingly, the August 7, 2012, Federal Register notice corrected paragraphs (z)(3) and (z)(5) of Appendix A to refer to §1926.451(g)(4).

Because of the August 7, 2011, correction, it is important to state exactly what tank builders must do to be in compliance with Appendix A. Paragraph (z)(1) of Appendix A states that the maximum distance between the brackets used to attach the scaffolding and guardrail supports shall be no more than 10½ feet, while paragraph (z)(2)

---

<sup>1</sup>In Docket No. OSHA-2011-0054 for this revocation action.

provides that no more than three employees shall occupy a 10½-foot scaffold plank at any time. Paragraph (z)(3) requires that employers install a taut wire or synthetic rope supported on the scaffold brackets at the scaffold-plank level between the innermost edge of the scaffold platform and the curved plates of the tank's outer surface; this wire or rope serves as a safety line in place of an inner guardrail assembly when the space between the scaffold platform and the tank exceeds 12 inches. If the space on either side of the wire or rope exceeds 12 inches, employers must install a second wire or synthetic rope in an appropriate location, or install guardrails in accordance with §1926.451(g)(4), to reduce the open space to less than 12 inches.

Additionally, paragraph (z)(4) provides that employers must use scaffold planks of rough full-dimensioned 2-inch x 12-inch Douglas Fir or Southern Yellow Pine of Select Structural Grade. Douglas Fir planks must have a fiber stress of at least 1,900 lb/m<sup>2</sup> and a modulus of elasticity of at least 1,900,000 lb/m<sup>2</sup>, while Yellow Pine planks must have a fiber stress of at least 2,500 lb/m<sup>2</sup> and a modulus of elasticity of at least 2,000,000 lb/m<sup>2</sup>. Finally, paragraph (z)(5) states that employers must construct guardrails of a taut wire or synthetic rope, and support these guardrails using angle irons attached to brackets welded to the steel plates. These guardrails must comply with §1926.451(g)(4), and employers must space the guardrail supports at intervals no greater than 10½ feet apart.

#### **IV. Other Corrections**

Condition (8) or (h) from the comparison table in the December 19, 2011, Federal Register notice proposing to revoke the variances included a reference to 29 CFR 1926.451(a)(15). This condition states: "Guardrails shall be constructed of taut wire



rope, and shall be supported by angle irons attached to brackets welded to the steel plates. These guardrails shall be at least of equivalent strength, stability and height as those required for the 8 foot span of 2" x 4" wood rails by 29 CFR 1926.451(a)(15). Guardrail supports shall be located at no greater than 10' 6" intervals."

OSHA notes that condition (8) from most of the tank-builder variances granted between 1975 and 1977 reference OSHA's former scaffolding standard at §1926.451(a)(5). The one exception is a variance granted to the Baker Tank Company on August 9, 1977 (42 FR 40269), which references §1926.451(a)(15). Former §1926.451(a)(15) states, "The poles, legs, or uprights of scaffolds shall be plumb, and securely and rigidly braced to prevent swaying and displacement," while former §1926.451(a)(5) states, "Guardrails shall be 2 x 4 inches or the equivalent, approximately 42 inches high, with a midrail, when required. Supports shall be at intervals not to exceed 8 feet. Toeboards shall be a minimum of 4 inches in height."

The reference to §1926.451(a)(15) in condition (8) of the 1977 Baker Tank Company variance is incorrect. OSHA used the conditions from the 1977 Baker Tank Company variance to develop the comparison table used in its December 19, 2011, variance-revocation notice. As a result, condition (8) or (h) of that table incorporated the incorrect reference (to §1926.451(a)(15)). Accordingly, OSHA modified variance condition (8) or (h) in the comparison table below to reference §1926.451(a)(5) instead of §1926.451(a)(15).<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>The comparison table below also corrects the reference to §1926.451(e)(4) to §1926.451(g)(4), as discussed in the previous section of this notice.

The following table compares the conditions specified in the 24 variances with the analogous paragraphs of the current corrected provisions in §1926.451 and Appendix A of 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L.

Variance Condition	Provision in Current §1926.451 and Appendix A of 29 CFR Part 1926, Subpart L
<u>Condition (1) or (a)</u> : The applicants' loose tools and equipment shall be kept in well-designed tool containers. This does not include fitup bars, key plates, key channels, or long handled mauls which may be placed on the scaffold plank during the time they are required for work. The loose tool containers shall be secured to prevent their upset or dislodgment from the scaffold area.	<u>1926.451(h)(1)</u> : In addition to wearing hardhats, each employee on a scaffold shall be provided with additional protection from falling hand tools, debris, and other small objects through the installation of toeboards, screens, or guardrail systems, or through the erection of debris nets, catch platforms, or canopy structures that contain or deflect the falling objects. When the falling objects are too large, heavy or massive to be contained or deflected by any of the above-listed measures, the employer shall place such potential falling objects away from the edge of the surface from which they could fall and shall secure those materials as necessary to prevent their falling.
<u>Condition (2) or (b)</u> : Areas beneath and far enough away from the base of the scaffold to contain anything that falls from above shall be roped off and posted with clearly visible signs stating: "Danger Overhead Work."	<u>1926.451(h)(2)(i)</u> : The area below the scaffold to which objects can fall shall be barricaded, and employees shall not be permitted to enter the hazard area.
<u>Condition (3) or (c)</u> : The space between the innermost edge of the scaffold platform and the curved plate structure of the tank shell shall not exceed 12" without protective measures. A taut wire rope supported on scaffold brackets at plank level may be used to divide any space exceeding 12" in lieu of using a guardrail or tie-off system.	<u>Appendix A, Paragraph (z)(3)</u> : A taut wire or synthetic rope supported on the scaffold brackets shall be installed at the scaffold plank level between the innermost edge of the scaffold platform and the curved plate structure of the tank shell to serve as a safety line in lieu of an inner guardrail assembly where the space between the scaffold platform and the tank exceeds 12 inches (30.48 cm). In the event the open space on either side of the rope exceeds 12 inches (30.48 cm), a second wire or synthetic rope

Variance Condition	Provision in Current §1926.451 and Appendix A of 29 CFR Part 1926, Subpart L
	appropriately placed, or guardrails in accordance with 1926.451(g)(4), shall be installed in order to reduce that open space to less than 12 inches (30.48 cm).
<u>Condition (4) or (d)</u> : Not more than three employees shall be working on a 10' 6" span of scaffold planking at any time.	<u>Appendix A, Paragraph (z)(2)</u> : Not more than three employees shall occupy a 10 feet 6 inch span of scaffold planking at any time.
<u>Condition (5) or (e)</u> : The maximum distance between brackets to which scaffolding and guardrail supports are attached shall be 10' 6". These brackets shall be welded to the steel plates.	<u>Appendix A, Paragraph (z)(1)</u> : The maximum distance between brackets to which scaffolding and guardrail supports are attached shall be no more than 10 feet 6 inches.
<u>Condition (6) or (f)</u> : Scaffold planks or rough full-dimensioned 2" X 12" X 12' Douglas Fir or equivalent planking, shall be used. The Douglas Fir shall have at least a 1,900 fiber stress and 1,900,000 modulus of elasticity. Three planks with full thickness 2" X 10" X 12' dimensions may be used in lieu of two 2" X 12" X 12' planks provided that they are clamped or bonded together at the midpoint of the span in order to spread the weight of the employees.	<u>Appendix A, Paragraph (z)(4)</u> : Scaffold planks of rough full-dimensioned 2-inch (5.1 cm) x 12-inch (30.5 cm) Douglas Fir or Southern Yellow Pine of Select Structural Grade shall be used. Douglas Fir planks shall have a fiber stress of at least 1900 lb/in <sup>2</sup> (130,929 n/cm <sup>2</sup> ) and a modulus of elasticity of at least 1,900,000 lb/in <sup>2</sup> (130,929,000 n/cm <sup>2</sup> ), while Yellow Pine planks shall have a fiber stress of at least 2500 lb/in <sup>2</sup> (172,275 n/cm <sup>2</sup> ) and a modulus of elasticity of at least 2,000,000 lb/in <sup>2</sup> (137,820,000 n/cm <sup>2</sup> ).
<u>Condition (7) or (g)</u> : All planking shall be secured from movement or overlapped in accordance with 1926.451(a)(12).	<u>1926.451(f)(15)(ii)</u> : The platform units shall be secured to the scaffold to prevent their movement;
<u>Condition (8) or (h)</u> : Guardrails shall be constructed of taut wire rope, and shall be supported by angle irons attached to brackets welded to the steel plates. These guardrails shall be at least of equivalent strength, stability and height as those required for the 8 foot span of 2" X 4" wood rails by 29 CFR 1926.451(a)(5). Guardrail supports shall be located at no greater than 10' 6" intervals.	<u>Appendix A, Paragraph (z)(5)</u> : Guardrails shall be constructed of a taut wire or synthetic rope, and shall be supported by angle irons attached to brackets welded to the steel plates. These guardrails shall comply with §1926.451(g)(4). Guardrail supports shall be located at no greater than 10 feet 6 inch intervals.

Based on the comparisons in the table contrasting the variance conditions with the analogous paragraphs in the current standard for scaffolds in construction, OSHA finds that current §1926.451 and corrected Appendix A to 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L, which replaced the standards from which the employers received the variances, substantially duplicate the conditions specified by these variances, and that the corrected standards and the variances impose equivalent compliance burdens on employers. Accordingly, current §1926.451 and its associated appendix provide employees with protection that is at least equal to the protection afforded to them by the conditions specified by the variances.

## **V. Findings and Conclusions**

Based on its review of the record, including the corrections to the references in Appendix A to 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L, OSHA finds that current §1926.451 and its associated appendix provide employees with protection that is at least equal to the protection afforded to them by the conditions specified by the variances described herein. Therefore, OSHA concludes that these variances are unnecessary, and is revoking the variances and requiring employers to comply instead with the appropriate provisions of §1926.451 and Appendix A to 29 CFR part 1926, subpart L.

The following table provides information about the variances revoked by this notice. Interested parties may refer to the Federal Register cite in the table to obtain detailed information about the variances.

<b>Name of Employer (Company)*</b>	<b>Variance No.</b>	<b>Date Granted</b>	<b>Federal Register Cite</b>	<b>OSHA Standards Affected**</b>
American Bridge Division, United States Steel Corp.	V-74-44 V-74-57	05/06/75	40 FR 19715	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)

<b>Name of Employer (Company)*</b>	<b>Variance No.</b>	<b>Date Granted</b>	<b>Federal Register Cite</b>	<b>OSHA Standards Affected**</b>
Baker Tank Company	V-77-7 V-77-1	08/09/77	42 FR 40269	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Bethlehem Steel Corporation, Fabricated Steel Construction Division	V-74-44 V-74-57	05/06/75	40 FR 19715	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Brown Minneapolis Tank and Fabricating Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Caldwell Tanks, Inc.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Chattanooga Boiler & Tank Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Chicago Bridge & Iron Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Edwards Tank Erection, Inc.	V-76-4 V-76-5	09/24/76	41 FR 41976	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Fisher Tank and Welding Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
General American Transportation Corporation	V-75-35	04/27/76	41 FR 17642	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Gorbett Brothers, Inc.	V-75-35	04/27/76	41 FR 17642	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Graver Tank & Manufacturing Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Marathon Steel Co. (formerly Allison Steel Manufacturing Co.)	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Newport News Industrial Corporation of Ohio	V-76-4 V-76-5	09/24/76	41 FR 41976	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)

<b>Name of Employer (Company)*</b>	<b>Variance No.</b>	<b>Date Granted</b>	<b>Federal Register Cite</b>	<b>OSHA Standards Affected**</b>
Nooter Corp.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Pittsburgh-Des Moines Steel Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Prairie Tank and Construction Company	V-75-35	04/27/76	41 FR 17642	1926.451(a)(4), (5), and (10)
PSF Industries, Inc.	V-74-44 V-74-57	05/06/75	40 FR 19715	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Richmond Engineering Company, Inc.	V-77-7 V-77-1	08/09/77	42 FR 40269	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Tank Services, Inc.	V-75-35	04/27/76	41 FR 17642	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
The Bishopric Products, Co.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Universal Tank & Iron Works	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Western Petro-Chem. Services, Inc.	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)
Wyatt, Division U.S. Industries	V-73-31 V-74-30	04/04/75	40 FR 15139	1926.451(a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(10)

\*As listed on the original variance.

\*\*From OSHA's original scaffold standard issued in 1971.

## **VI. State-Plan States**

Twenty-two states administer OSHA-approved occupational safety and health programs, or State Plans, that have jurisdiction over private-sector employers within the state. These states are Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Puerto

Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming. OSHA granted the 24 variances at issue under Federal authority with nationwide applicability, without reference to the State Plans. About the same time, the State-Plan states began to assume responsibility for most occupational safety and health activities in the state, including enforcement, standards development, and granting variances. Accordingly, each State-Plan state adopted state scaffolding standards that are identical to, or at least as effective as, the current Federal standard at 29 CFR 1926.451. As OSHA is revoking the variances described herein, affected employers operating in one or more of these State-Plan states must determine if the applicable state standards are identical to, or different from, the current OSHA standard. If a State-Plan state standard differs from the OSHA standard, these employers must either meet any state-specific requirements in the state standard or apply directly to the applicable State Plan Office for a variance from the state's standard. Information on State Plans is available on OSHA's Web site at <http://www.osha.gov/dcsp/osp/index.html>, and includes links to each state's Web site, as well as information on state-specific standards.

## **VII. Authority and Signature**

David Michaels, PhD, MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C., authorized the preparation of this notice. OSHA is issuing this notice under the

authority specified by Section 6(d) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 655), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1-2012 (76 FR 3912), and 29 CFR part 1905.

Signed at Washington, DC, on February 19, 2013.

---

**David Michaels,**  
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

**[FR Doc. 2013-04825 Filed 03/01/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 03/04/2013]**